Serial No.: 09/996,087

1   **REMARKS**

2   These remarks follow the order of the paragraphs of the office action. Relevant portions of the

3   office action are shown indented and italicized.

4   *DETAILED ACTION*

5   ***DETAILED ACTION***
6   *1 This action is in response to application 09/996087 filed 11/28/01 Claims 1-20 are*
7   *pending and represent method, system and apparatus for associating   multi-lingual*
8   *audio recordings with objects in Internet presentation*

9   *Claim Rejections -35 USC § 112*

10   *2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C 112:*
11   *The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and*
12   *distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention*

13   *3. Regarding claim 1, 10, and 15, the phrase 'such as" renders the claim   indefinite*
14   *because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed*
15   *invention See MPEP § 2173.05(d)*

16   *4. Regarding claim 1, 10, and 15, the phrase and/or renders the claim(s) indefinite*
17   *because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by*
18   *and/or '), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP §*
19   *2173.05(d)*

20   In response, applicants respectfully state that claims 1, 10 and 15 are amended to remove the

21   term 'such as'. The claims as amended are definite and overcome the 35 U.S.C 112 rejections.

22   *5. Claim 3 recites the limitation 'this one" in claim 1 There is insufficient antecedent*
23   *basis for this limitation in the claim*

24   In response, applicants respectfully state that claim 3 is amended to remove the term 'this one'.

25   The claim as amended is definite and overcomes the 35 U.S.C 112 rejection.

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1   **-7/19-**

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1   *6. Claim 9 recites the limitation "which is set' in claim 8. There is insufficient*
2   *antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim*

3   In response, applicants respectfully state that claim 9 is amended to remove the term 'which is

4   set'. The claim as amended is definite and overcomes the 35 U.S.C 112 rejections.

5   ***Claim Rejections -35 USC § 103***

6   *7.   The following is a quotation of 35 USC 103(a) which forms the basis for all*
7   *obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:*
8   *(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed*
9   *or described as set form in section 102 of the title, if the differences between the subject*
10   *mailer sought to be patented and the prior art are such, that the subject matter as a*
11   *whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having*
12   *ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains Patentability shall not be*
13   *negatived by   the manner in which the invention was made*
14   *8. Claim 1-2, 4-7, 10-12,16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being*
15   *unpatentable over Barry, PCT Patent No WO 0038394 in view of Hirohama et al, US*
16   *Patent No 5,797,125 Barry teaches the invention substantially as claimed including*
17   *web-page audio message system and method (see abstract)*

18   Applicants respectfully state that the present invention as claimed in claims 1-2, 4-7, 10-12,16,

19   18-20, "provides an Internet presentation system enabling the user to make audio recordings

20   associated respectively with the visual objects of the presentation whatever the language used in

21   the audio recording. The invention provides methods of associating multi-lingual audio

22   recordings with visual objects in a presentation system accessed by the Internet network. A

23   plurality of visual objects such as charts or slides controlled by a third party in a server can be

24   accessed by any user of the Internet network. The visual objects are each associated with an

25   audio recording in any language selected amongst several predetermined languages." See Page 3,

26   'SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION'. This is not obvious from the art of Barry.

27   Whereas the abstract of Barry, cited by the office action, shows that Barry is to provide, "[A]

28   web-page audio message system includes a telephone server (6), a database server(s), a file server

29   (8), a web server (10) and an audio server (10). A user desiring audio service registers with the

30   system via the web sewer (10). The web server (10) retrieves user information and forwards that

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1   **-8/19-**

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1   information to the database server (8) for storage. Alternatively a user may obtain audio service

2   through an affiliate web-page (9) or other service provider by requesting the audio message

3   feature. The user may have one or more audio buttons and corresponding messages associated

4   with one or more web-pages. Once a user is registered, the user may create or modify the audio

5   message by accessing the telephone server (6) via a conventional telephone (2). The telephone

6   server (6) prompts the caller for an access code PIN, and button number, and verifies the entered

7   information. A user message is recorded and the telephone server provides an audio file to the

8   file server (8) for storage. When an end user visits a web-page and selects an audio button, a

9   database query is transferred to the database server (8) to retrieve the corresponding audio file.

10   The database server (8) tracks information relating to users, selection of audio buttons and the

11   quantity of selections for marketing purposes. The retrieved audio file is transferred from the file

12   server (8) to the audio server (10) for playback to the end-user." There is apparently no reference

13   or concern in Barry to a presentation system, nor with objects in a presentation system as is the

14   case with the present invention claimed in claims 1-2, 4-7, 10-12,16, 18-20.

15   Furthermore, the second reference to Tsutomu Hirohama, filed: March 6, 1995, is apparently not

16   concerned with or related to presentation system enabling the user to make audio recordings

17   associated respectively with the visual objects of the presentation. Tsutomu is a, "Voice guide

18   system including portable terminal units and control center having write processor"

19   Identification code transmitting units are respectively installed in different guide areas and

20   respectively transmit different identification codes. Each of plural portable terminal units

21   includes a memory for storing plural pieces of guide information respectively associated with the

22   different guide areas in any one of different languages, a receiver for receiving the identification

23   code transmitted by any one of the transmitting units, a selector for selecting one of the plural

24   pieces of guide information according to the received identification code, and a voice generator

25   for generating a voice corresponding to the selected piece of guide information. A control center

26   also includes a memory for storing the plural pieces of guide information in each of the different

27   languages, and a write processing device for selecting one of the languages according to a user

28   command and then writing the plural pieces of guide information of the selected language from

29   the memory thereof into the memory of any one of the terminal units.

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1   **-9/19-**

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1 *As to claim 1, Barry teaches a system, comprising: calling said third party server by a*
2 *user in charge of the audio recording associated with a visual object via the Public*
3 *Switched Network (page 3, lines 31, page 4, lines 2; page 10, lines 30-32; Barry discloses*
4 *that a system communicates through the switched telephone network, which records*
5 *audio information and stores in the telephony native format), prompting said user on*
6 *said users telephone to enter said users user ID (page 7, line 10; page 8, lines 31-34;*
7 *Barry discloses that a system which prompt to enter the PIN for the unique identification*
8 *associated with the caller to retrieved the database/tile server); transmitting by a keypad*
9 *of said telephone said user ID (page 8, lines 3-9; Barry discloses that a system which*
10 *loads the received audio message into the interface card) But Barry fails to teach the*
11 *limitation wherein a first part of user ID defining a first language to be used for*
12 *recording said audio recording, whereby displaying of said visual object by said*
13 *workstation is automatically synchronized with said audio recording. However,*
14 *Hirohama teaches a voice guide systems including portable terminal units and control*
15 *center having write processor (see abstract) Hirohama teaches the limitation wherein a*
16 *first part of user ID defining a first language to be used for recording said audio*
17 *recording, whereby displaying of said visual object by said workstation is automatically*
18 *synchronized with said audio recording (col.. 3, lines 42-46; Hirohama discloses that a*
19 *system which stores more than one specific pieces of information for individual booths in*
20 *more than one language). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art*
21 *at the time of the invention to modify Barry in view of Hirohama so that the system could*
22 *have multiple language of audio recording. One would be motivated to do so to allow*
23 *the user define the language he/she would desired*

24 In response, applicants respectfully state that claim 1 is directed to an invention not alluded to
25 and not a concern of Barry. For example, claim 1 includes, "associating multi-lingual audio
26 recordings with visual objects in a presentation system accessed by the Internet network." Barry
27 apparently is not concerned with, "recordings with visual objects in a presentation system,"

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1   **-10/19-**

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1   which is an inherent part of claims 1-20 of the present invention. Thus all claims 1-20 as

2   amended are allowable over the cited art.

3   *9. As to claim 2, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 1. Barry teaches assigned*
4   *to said visual object associated with said audio recording by the user at said telephone*
5   *after said user has transmitted said user ID (page 7, lines 15-23; Barry discloses that a*
6   *system which have the audio buttons or messages associated with the caller). But Barry*
7   *fails to teach the limitation comprising the step of entering a number assigned to said*
8   *visual object associated with said audio recording. However, Hirohama teaches the*
9   *limitation comprising the step of entering a number assigned to said visual object*
10   *associated with said audio recording   (col.. 3, lines 1-6; Hirohama discloses that a*
11   *system which provided with identification code transmitting units which installed*
12   *respectively to the predetermine language). It would have been obvious to one of*
13   *ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the invention to modify Barry in view of Hirohama*
14   *so that the system so that the user could select the associated number of audio recording.*
15   *One would be motivated to do so to allow the user transmit the user ID that identified the*
16   *audio recording*

17   In response, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in

18   the office action regarding the referenced art making the claims in the present invention. For the

19   reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to the presently

20   claimed invention.

21   *10. As to claim 4, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 3. Barry fails to teach*
22   *the system wherein said user ID includes a second part defining a directory name*
23   *wherein the file containing said audio recording is stored. However, Hirohama teaches*
24   *the limitation wherein said user ID includes a second pad defining a directory name*
25   *wherein the file containing said audio recording is stored (col.. 2, lines 34-39;*
26   *Hirohama discloses that a system have more than one identification code transmitting*
27   *unit for particular address information, booth number, and associated language). It*
28   *would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to*
29   *modify Barry in view of Hirohama so that the system could define a directory name. One*
30   *would be motivated to do so to allow the user to select the storage of the audio*
31   *recording*
32   In response, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in

33   the office action regarding the referenced art making the claims in the present invention. For the

34   reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to the presently

35   claimed invention.

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1   **-11/19-**

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1   *11. As to claim 5,* Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 4. Barry fails to teach
2   the system comprising the step of generating by said third party sever a first file name
3   including said directory name and a code defining said first language when ID is
4   received by said third party server However Hirohama teaches the limitation comprising
5   the step of generating by said third party server a first file name including said directory
6   name and a code defining said first language when ID is received by said third party
7   server (col.. 3, Lines 20-23; Hirohama discloses that a system which provided the
8   information for the corresponding booths and languages). It would have been obvious to
9   one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Barry in view of
10   Hirohama so that the system could define the language which corresponding with the
11   directory. One would be motivated to do so to allow the user to choose the directory and
12   the language code

13   In response, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in
14   the office action regarding the referenced art making the claims in the present invention. For the
15   reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to the presently
16   claimed invention.

17   *12. As to claim 6,* Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 5 Barry fails to teaches
18   the limitation wherein said audio recording In said first language is stored in a data
19   base by using said first file name. However, Hirohama teaches the limitation wherein
20   said audio recording in said first language is stored in a data base by using said first file
21   name (col. 2, - lines 13-20; Hirohama discloses that a system provided with a storage
22   means for storing specific pieces of guide information for more than one languages). It
23   would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to
24   modify Barry in view of Hirohama so that the system could provide the user with
25   multiple language selection. One would be motivated to do so to improve the system with
26   multiple audio languages recording

27   In response, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in
28   the office action regarding the referenced art making the claims in the present invention. For the
29   reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to the presently
30   claimed invention.

31   *13. As to claim 7,* Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 6 Barry fails to teaches
32   the limitation comprising the steps of transmitting from said telephone another ID
33   containing a first part defining a second language to be used for recording said audio
34   recording and a second part defining said directory name, and generating by said third
35   party server another file name including said directory name and a code defining said
36   second language However, Hirohama teaches the limitation comprising the steps of

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1   -12/19-

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1   *transmitting from said telephone another ID containing a first part defining a second*
2   *language to be used for recording said audio recording and a second part defining said*
3   *directory name, and generating by said third party server another file name Including*
4   *said directory name and a code defining said second language (col.. 3, lines 1-28;*
5   *Hirohama discloses that a system which identify the transmitting code for storage unit*
6   *corresponding to the pre-selected language). It would have been obvious to one of*
7   *ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Barry in view of Hirohama*
8   *so that the system could generate the ID, which identifies the corresponding languages.*
9   *One would be motivated to do so to provide a multiple languages selection to the user*

10   In response, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in

11   the office action regarding the referenced art making the claims in the present invention. For the

12   reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to the presently

13   claimed invention.

14   *14. As to claim 10, Barry teaches a system, comprising: a third party server including*
15   *processing means for receiving a user ID from a user telephone (page 7, line 10; page 8,*
16   *lines 31-34; Barry discloses that a system which prompt to enter the PIN for the unique*
17   *identification associated with the caller to retrieved the database/file server); But Barry*
18   *fails to teach the limitation wherein said user ID comprising a first part defining a first*
19   *language to be used for recording said audio recording whereby displaying of said*
20   *visual object by said workstation is automatically synchronized with said audio*
21   *recording. However, Hirohama teaches a voice guide systems including portable*
22   *terminal units and control center having write processor (see abstract). Hirohama*
23   *teaches the limitation wherein said user ID comprising a first part defining a first*
24   *language to be used for recording said audio recording whereby displaying of said*
25   *visual object by said workstation is automatically synchronized with said audio*
26   *recording (col.. 3, lines 42-46; Hirohama discloses that a system which stores more than*
27   *one specific pieces of information for individual booths in more than one language). It*
28   *would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Invention to*
29   *modify Barry in view of Hirohama so that the system could have multiple language of*
30   *audio recording, One would be motivated to do so to allow the user define the language*
31   *he/she would desired*
32   In response, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in

33   the office action regarding the referenced art making the claims in the present invention. For the

34   reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to the presently

35   claimed invention.

36   *15. As to claim 11, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 10. Barry fails to*
37   *teaches the limitation wherein said third party server comprises a table for determining*
38   *said language to be used for recording said audio recording from said first part in said*

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1   *user ID. However, Hirohama teaches the limitation wherein said third party server*
2   *comprises a table for determining said language to be used for recording said audio*
3   *recording from said first part in said user ID (col.. 2, lines 24-31; Hirohama discloses*
4   *that a system which configured the guide for languages storage information used for*
5   *audio recording). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the*
6   *time of the invention to modify Barry in view of Hirohama so that the system would have*
7   *an option to choose the desired language. One would be motivated to do so to provide*
8   *the customer with variety language selection*
9   In response, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in
10   the office action regarding the referenced art making the claims in the present invention. For the
11   reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to the presently
12   claimed invention.

13   *16. As to claim 12, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 11. Barry fails to*
14   *teach the system wherein said user ID includes a second part defining a directory name,*
15   *wherein the file containing said audio recording is stored, and further comprising a*
16   *directory to store said audio recording at a location determined by said directory name,*
17   *However, Hirohama teaches the limitation wherein said user ID includes a second pad*
18   *defining a directory name, wherein the file containing said audio recording is stored,*
19   *and further comprising a directory to store said audio recording at a location*
20   *determined by said directory name (col.. 2, lines 34-39; Hirohama discloses that a*
21   *system have more than one identification code transmitting unit for particular address*
22   *information, booth number, and associated language). It would have been obvious to one*
23   *of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Barry in view of*
24   *Hirohama so that the system could define a directory name. One would be motivated to*
25   *do so to allow the user to select the storage of the audio recording*

26   In response, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in
27   the office action regarding the referenced art making the claims in the present invention. For the
28   reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to the presently
29   claimed invention.

30   *17. As to claim 15, Barry teaches a system, comprising: means for calling said third*
31   *party server by a user in charge of the audio recording associated with a visual object*
32   *via the Public Switched Network (page 3, lines 31 — page 4, lines 2; page 10, lines*
33   *30-32; Barry discloses that a system communicates through the switched telephone*
34   *network, which records audio information and stores in the telephony native format),*
35   *means for prompting said user on said user's telephone to enter said user's user ID (page*
36   *7, line 10; page 8, lines 31-34; Barry discloses that a system which prompt to enter the*
37   *PIN for the unique identification associated with the caller to retrieved the database/file*
38   *server); means for transmitting by a keypad of said telephone said user ID (page 8, lines*

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1   **-14/19-**

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1	*3-9; page 7, lines 15-23; Barry discloses that a system which roads and received audio*
2	*message into the interface card) But Barry fails to teach the limitation wherein a first*
3	*part of user ID first part defining a first language to be used for recording said audio*
4	*recording, whereby displaying of said visual object by said workstation is automatically*
5	*synchronized with said audio recording. However, Hirohama teaches a voice guide*
6	*systems including portable terminal units and control center having write processor (see*
7	*abstract). Hirohama teaches the limitation wherein a first part of user ID first part*
8	*defining a first language to be used for recording said audio recording, whereby*
9	*displaying of said visual object by said workstation is automatically synchronized with*
10	*said audio recording (col.. 3, lines 42-46 and lines 43-51; Hirohama discloses that a*
11	*system which stores more than one specific pieces of information for individual booths in*
12	*more than one language). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at*
13	*the time of the invention to modify Barry in view of Hirohama so that the system could*
14	*have multiple language of audio recording. One would be motivated to do so to allow*
15	*the user define the language ho/she would desired*

16 In response, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in

17 the office action regarding the referenced art making the claims in the present invention. For the

18 reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to the presently

19 claimed invention.

20	*18. As to claim 18, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 17. Barry fails to*
21	*teach the limitation comprising means for generating by said third party server a first*
22	*file name including said directory name and a code defining said first language when ID*
23	*is received by said third party server. However, Hirohama teaches the limitation*
24	*comprising means for generating by said third party server a first file name including*
25	*said directory name and a code defining said first language when ID is received by said*
26	*third party sever (col.. 3, lines 1-28; Hirohama discloses that a system which identify*
27	*the transmitting code for storage unit corresponding to the pre-selected language). It*
28	*would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to*
29	*modify Barry in view of Hirohama so that the system could generate the ID, which*
30	*identifies the corresponding languages. One would be motivated to do so to provide a*
31	*multiple languages selection to the user*

32 In response, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in

33 the office action regarding the referenced art making the claims in the present invention. For the

34 reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to the presently

35 claimed invention.

36	*19. As to claim 19, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 1. Barry fails to teach*
37	*the limitation wherein a computer usable medium having computer readable program*

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1   **-15/19-**

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1   *code means embodied therein for causing association of multi-lingual audio recordings*
2   *with visual objects. However, Hirohama teaches the imitation wherein a computer*
3   *usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied therein for*
4   *causing association of multi-lingual audio recordings with visual objects (col.. 4, lines*
5   *1-15; Hirohama discloses that a system which provided the selected booths with the*
6   *corresponding languages). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art*
7   *at the time of the invention to modify Barry in view of Hirohama so that the system could*
8   *have an option of choosing the desired language. One would be motivated to do so to*
9   *improve the system by having variety choices*

10   In response, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in

11   the office action regarding the referenced art making the claims in the present invention. For the

12   reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to the presently

13   claimed invention.

14   *20. As to claim 20, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 10. Barry falls to*
15   *teach the limitation wherein a computer usable medium having computer readable*
16   *program code means embodied therein for causing association of multi-lingual audio*
17   *recordings with visual objects. However, Hirohama teaches the limitation wherein a*
18   *computer usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied*
19   *therein for causing association of multi-lingual audio recordings with visual objects*
20   *(col.. 4, lines 1-15; Hirohama discloses that a system which provided the selected booths*
21   *with the corresponding languages). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill*
22   *in the art at the time of the invention to modify Barry in view of Hirohama so that the*
23   *system could have and copy the corresponding file and directory name. One would be*
24   *motivated to do so to allow the user to copy the audio recording to file*

25   *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

26   *22. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 USC 102 that form*
27   *the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:*
28   *A person shall be entitled to a patent unless:*
29   *(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section*
30   *122(b) by another tiled in the united States before the Invention by he applicant for patent*
31   *or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States*
32   *before (the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application*
33   *filed under the treaty defined in section 351(e) shall have the effects for purposes of this*
34   *subsection of an application filed in the United States only If the international*
35   *application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such*
36   *treaty In the English language*

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1 -16/19-

PAGE 16/19 * RCVD AT 12/18/2005 6:09:10 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/25 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:8453523194 * DURATION (mm-ss):07-14

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1   *23. Claim 3, 9, 13-14, 16-17 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by*
2   *Barry, PCT Patent No W00038394. Barry teaches the invention as claimed including*
3   *web-page audio message system and method (see abstract)*

4   Applicants respectfully state that the present invention as claimed in claims 1-2, 4-7, 10-12,16,

5   18-20, "provides an Internet presentation system enabling the user to make audio recordings

6   associated respectively with the visual objects of the presentation whatever the language used in

7   the audio recording. The invention provides methods of associating multi-lingual audio

8   recordings with visual objects in a presentation system accessed by the Internet network. A

9   plurality of visual objects such as charts or slides controlled by a third party in a server can be

10   accessed by any user of the Internet network. The visual objects are each associated with an

11   audio recording in any language selected amongst several predetermined languages." See Page 3,

12   'SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION'. This is not anticipated by the art of Barry.

13   Whereas the abstract of Barry, cited by the office action, shows that Barry is to provide, "[A]

14   web-page audio message system includes a telephone server (6), a database server(s), a file server

15   (8), a web server (10) and an audio server (10). A user desiring audio service registers with the

16   system via the web sewer (10). The web server (10) retrieves user information and forwards that

17   information to the database server (8) for storage. Alternatively a user may obtain audio service

18   through an affiliate web-page (9) or other service provider by requesting the audio message

19   feature. The user may have one or more audio buttons and corresponding messages associated

20   with one or more web-pages. Once a user is registered, the user may create or modify the audio

21   message by accessing the telephone server (6) via a conventional telephone (2). The telephone

22   server (6) prompts the caller for an access code PIN, and button number, and verifies the entered

23   information. A user message is recorded and the telephone server provides an audio file to the

24   file server (8) for storage. When an end user visits a web-page and selects an audio button, a

25   database query is transferred to the database server (8) to retrieve the corresponding audio file.

26   The database server (8) tracks information relating to users, selection of audio buttons and the

27   quantity of selections for marketing purposes. The retrieved audio file is transferred from the file

28   server (8) to the audio server (10) for playback to the end-user." There is apparently no reference

29   or concern in Barry to a presentation system, nor with objects in a presentation system as is the

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1   **-17/19-**

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1 case with the present invention claimed in the independent and claims upon which dependent

2 claims 3, 9, 13-14, 16-17 are dependent. Thus the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) as being

3 anticipated by Barry is traversed and all claims 3, 9, 13-14, 16-17 are allowable over the cited art.

4 *As to claim 3, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 1, comprising the step of*
5 *transmitting a password by the user at said telephone after this one has transmitted said*
6 *user ID (page 9, lines 30-33; Barry discloses that a system which transmitted the PIN,*
7 *verify the user)*

8 *24. As to claim 9, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 8, wherein the file*
9 *containing said audio recording includes a flag which is set when said audio recording*
10 *is recorded for the first time or changed and which is reset when said file is copied from*
11 *said data base to said directory (page 5, lines 4-7; Barry discloses that a system which*
12 *identity the audio message through the web-page button that correlate to each other)*

13 *25 As to claim 13, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 11, comprises a table*
14 *for determining said language to be used for recording said audio recording from said*
15 *first part in said user ID (page 4, lines 1-7; Barry discloses that the system which stores*
16 *the audio record in the database/file server in the telephone native format)*

17 *26. As to claim 14, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 14, wherein said user*
18 *ID includes a second part defining a directory name, wherein the file containing said*
19 *audio recording is stored, and further comprising a directory to store said audio*
20 *recording at a location determined by said directory name (page 5, lines 4-7; Barry*
21 *discloses that a system which retrieve the audio message from the database/file server by*
22 *clicking the corresponding button)*

23 *27. As to claim 16, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 15, comprising means*
24 *for entering a number assigned to said visual object associated with said audio*
25 *recording by the user at said telephone after said user has transmitted said user ID*
26 *(page 10, lines 12-15; Barry discloses that a system which display the HTML code*
27 *places on the button web-pages which associated with corresponding audio message)*

28 *28. As to claim 17, Barry teaches the system as recited in claim 15, comprising the*
29 *step of transmitting a password by the user at said telephone after this one has*
30 *transmitted said user ID (page 9, lines 30-33; Barry discloses that a system which*
31 *transmitted the PIN, verify the user).*

32 Thus, applicants respectfully state that the applicants do not agree with the statements in the

33 office action regarding the referenced art anticipating the claims in the present invention. For the

34 reasons stated above, the cited art alone or together do not apparently refer to, anticipate or make

35 obvious the presently claimed invention. Thus all the claims are allowable.

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1   -18/19-

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1   Please charge any fee necessary to enter this paper to deposit account 50-0510.

2   Respectfully submitted,

3   By:

4   Dr. Louis P. Herzberg
5   Reg. No. 41,500
6   Voice Tel. (845) 352-3194
7   Fax. (914) 945-3281
8   3 Cloverdale Lane
9   Monsey, NY 10952

10   Customer Number: 54856

DOCKET NUMBER: FR20000043US1   **-19/19-**